UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,474	04/20/2006	Jan Swerup	PS02 0184WO1	6076
58561 HARRITY & H	7590 04/01/200 IARRITY, LLP	EXAMINER		
11350 RANDOM HILLS ROAD			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,474	SWERUP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 L 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Based upon the submitted amendment, the examiner notes that claims 1,6,9 have been amended.
- **2.** Based on the applicant's arguments, the examiner submits a new NF rejection based on new prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. **Claims 1-16** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per applicant's arguments that the disclosed prior art message type selection does not constitute the claimed message type, the examiner contends that it is not clear exactly what defines the term 'transmission protocol'. For the purpose of examination the examiner assumes it refers specifically to the known mms, sms, ems protocols.

Clarification/Correction is requested.

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2,4-6,9-11,14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over L'Heureux (6697942) and further in view of Engstrom (7212808).

As per claims 1,6, L'Heureux discloses a messaging system (email) for a portable terminal that provides a common interface for different message types (abstract). The system comprises a common editor for all the different message types (Col 3 lines 1-30). The user selects the message type. The message must inherently be saved in order to be processed by the terminal in order to be sent to the network. Based on the selected message type the terminal will 'change the transmission format' (the 'text' portion of the data field will be filled with the specific message type data). The terminal inherently comprises input means and display means for the purpose of letting the user 'select' any known type of data to be transferred (selecting a message type, and detection of a user selection). The system inherently comprises control means to control the hardware to perform the disclosed functions. However, the system does not comprise an encoder that

Art Unit: 2614

outputs the message signaling in a particular protocol based on the type of message selecterd.

Engstrom discloses a messaging service where the transmission protocol is automatically selected based on the chosen message type (Col 5 line 65). It would have been obvious to implement the automatic protocol selection function for the advantage that the protocol will be automatically selected.

As per **claim 2**, the received message content is displayed by the appropriate application on the terminals.

As per **claims 4,5,9,10**, all data must be saved (in a 'general format') at every processing stage in the terminal in order for the data to be processed. The saving is 'automatic' for those functions that do not require user input to procede.

As per claim 11, the message is uploaded to a specific web address (col 3).

As per **claim 14**, the device is a portable terminal with a display in which the user navigates a series of menus. The gui interface will change and the options selectable by the user will change depending on the particular situation (such as being in the editor) that the user is in.

As per claims 15, 16, the device is a portable phone.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2614

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 3,7,8,12,13, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over L'Heureux (6697942) as applied to claim 1.

As per **claims 3,7,8,** L'Heureux discloses a well known portable phone with a keypad and display interface as per the claim 1 rejection, but does not give the specifics of the interface, including a scrolling function.

The examiner contends it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that known gui interface types, such as scrolling or selectable display types could be implemented on the display as a matter of design choice. The examiner further notes that applicant's specification provides no specific detail as to how a scrolling function or selectable display types (via an information presentation unit) would be implemented an any particular terminal type. The examiner reads the scrolling function as a well known and obvious to implement feature on any device with a display.

As per **claims 12,13**, the examiner contends one skilled in the art would realize that the identification/authentication of the user (inherent to organized communication on a network) could be carried out with or without user interaction as a matter of design choice. The examiner further notes that applicant's specification does not give specific information as to how an authentication system (automatic or otherwise) would be implemented in any particular type of data device (as each data device would have a different set of programming parameters and input/output means).

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

April 1, 2009